

1 Michael T. Hornak (State Bar No. 81936)
2 email: mhornak@rutan.com
3 Ronald P. Oines (State Bar No. 145016)
4 email: roines@rutan.com
5 Bradley A. Chapin (State Bar No. 232885)
6 email: bchapin@rutan.com
7 Benjamin Deming (State Bar No. 233687)
8 email: bdeming@rutan.com
9 RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
10 611 Anton Boulevard, Fourteenth Floor
11 Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931
12 Telephone: 714-641-5100
13 Facsimile: 714-546-9035

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff ASSA ABLOY AB

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DISTRICT

ASSA ABLOY AB, a Swedish Limited
Liability Company,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC., a
Delaware corporation; UNIKEY
TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Florida
corporation and DOES 1 through 10,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION
AND DAMAGES FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiff ASSA ABLOY AB (“AAAB”) (“Plaintiff”), for its Complaint
 2 against defendants SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC. (“Spectrum”)¹, UNIKEY
 3 TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (“UniKey”), and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
 4 (Spectrum, UniKey and DOES 1 through 10 are collectively referred to herein as
 5 “Defendants”) alleges as follows:

6 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws
 8 of the United States, Title 35, United States Code. This Court has jurisdiction over
 9 the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (action arising
 10 under an Act of Congress relating to patents) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal
 11 question).

12 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).
 13 Spectrum is subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District, resides in this
 14 Judicial District, has committed acts of infringement in this Judicial District, and has
 15 a regular and established place of business in this Judicial District. UniKey is
 16 subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District, has committed acts of
 17 infringement in this Judicial District and actively enters into business dealings in
 18 this Judicial District, which business dealings are directly related to the claims for
 19 relief in this case.

20 **THE PARTIES**

21 3. AAAB is a Limited Liability Company established under the laws of
 22 Sweden and having a principal place of business in Stockholm, Sweden.

23 4. On information and belief, defendant Spectrum is a Delaware
 24 corporation with a principal place of business located at 19701 Da Vinci, Lake
 25 Forest, CA 92610. Spectrum makes, uses, sells, offers to sell, and/or imports into

26 _____
 27 ¹ In November 2014, a company called Kwikset Corporation was merged with and
 28 into Spectrum pursuant to section 253 of the General Corporation Law of Delaware.
 Prior to the merger, Kwikset Corporation was the operating entity that made, used,
 sold, offered to sell, and/or imported into the United States the accused products
 attributed to Spectrum herein.

1 the United States a product called the “Kwikset Kevo” which infringes the patent
2 described below.

3 5. On information and belief, defendant UniKey is a Florida corporation
4 with a principal place of business located at 2250 Lee Rd., Suite 100, Winter Park,
5 FL 32789.

6 6. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate
7 or otherwise, of defendants DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff,
8 which therefore sue said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek
9 leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to include their proper names and
10 capacities when they have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and
11 based thereon alleges, that each of the fictitiously named defendants participated in
12 and is in some manner responsible for the acts described in this Complaint and the
13 damage resulting therefrom.

14 7. Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that each of the defendants
15 named herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, performed, participated in, or abetted
16 in some manner, the acts alleged herein, proximately caused the damages alleged
17 hereinbelow, and are liable to Plaintiff for the damages and relief sought herein.

AAAB'S BUSINESS

19 8. AAAB is the parent company of several entities worldwide that are
20 leaders in the delivery of secure identity solutions for millions of customers
21 throughout the world. These identity solutions are used in a variety of applications,
22 including physical access control, logical access control, access card printing and
23 personalization, highly secure government identification and animal identification.
24 These products, solutions and services are sold through a well-established network
25 of OEMs, developers, systems integrators and distributors worldwide. End users of
26 these products, solutions and services include businesses and organizations in
27 virtually all industry sectors, including government, healthcare, retail, industrial,
28 commercial, consumer, airports, ports, finance and education.

THE PATENT AT ISSUE

2 9. AAAB owns numerous patents and other intellectual property that
3 relate to various aspects of its business and the business of its affiliates. The patent
4 that is the subject of this action, United States Patent No. 8,074,271 (the ““271
5 Patent”) relates generally to the use of mobile devices in a secure access system.

6 10. The ‘271 Patent, entitled “Method and apparatus for making a decision
7 on a card,” issued on December 6, 2011. AAAB owns by assignment the ‘271
8 Patent. A true and correct copy of the ‘271 Patent is attached as **Exhibit “A”**.

DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT

10 11. On information and belief, Spectrum manufactures and sells door locks
11 and hardware, including electronic access systems, including the Kwikset Kevo
12 lock. The Kevo deadbolt lock is loaded with hardware and software provided by
13 UniKey that allows the use of a mobile phone to open the deadbolt lock. The Kevo
14 is advertised as “powered by UniKey.” On information and belief, UniKey also
15 develops and maintains the Kevo app for use with the Kevo. The Kevo app is an
16 integral part of the Kevo. The Kevo app is available for use on mobile devices and
17 is available for download via the Apple App Store and via Google Play. The Kevo
18 device is sold with a certain number of electronic keys, and additional keys may be
19 purchased through the Kevo App.

20 12. On information and belief, UniKey also developed and maintains the
21 website www.unikey.com which advertises and solicits business for the Kwikset
22 Kevo, including a “Buy Now” feature, by which a visitor to the UniKey website can
23 link directly to a site to purchase the Kevo online.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement Against All Defendants – ‘271 Patent)

26 13. Plaintiff realleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1
27 through 12, inclusive, and incorporates them herein by this reference.

28 || 14. By making, using, selling, offering to sell, and/or importing into the

1 United States the Kevo and any other products, systems or methods that meet all of
 2 the elements of at least one claim of the '271 Patent, Defendants have infringed, and
 3 are infringing one or more claims of the '271 Patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

4 15. On information and belief, Defendants have been and are currently
 5 actively inducing infringement of the '271 Patent by others by, among other things,
 6 actively inducing others to make, use, sell, offer to sell, and/or import into the
 7 United States the Kevo and any other products that meet each and every element of
 8 one or more claims of the '271 Patent. Defendants have also actively induced others
 9 to perform methods that infringe the claims of the '271 Patent with knowledge of
 10 the '271 Patent and that the acts so induced constitute infringement of the '271
 11 Patent. Thus, Defendants are liable for infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).

12 16. Defendants have been and are currently contributorily infringing the
 13 '271 Patent by offering to sell or selling within the United States or importing into
 14 the United States a component of a patented machine, manufacture, combination or
 15 composition, or a material or apparatus for use in practicing a patented process,
 16 constituting a material part of the invention, knowing that same is especially made
 17 or especially adapted for use in an infringement of the '271 Patent. The
 18 components, material or apparatus are not staple articles or commodities of
 19 commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing uses.

20 17. Defendants have knowingly, or with willful blindness, contributed to
 21 and induced, infringement, with knowledge or willful blindness that the acts induced
 22 and contributed to constitute patent infringement.

23 18. Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial, but
 24 which is no less than a reasonable royalty, and irreparably injured by Defendants'
 25 infringement. Plaintiff will continue to be so damaged and irreparably injured
 26 unless such infringement is enjoined by this Court.

27 ///

28 ///

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

3 1. That Defendants, their officers, directors, agents, servants, employees,
4 and all persons and entities in active concert or participation with them, or any of
5 them, be preliminarily and permanently enjoined and restrained from further
6 infringement of the '271 Patent;

7 2. A judgment by the Court that Defendants have infringed and are
8 infringing the '271 Patent;

9 3. An award of damages for infringement of the '271 Patent, with interest
10 and costs, increased up to three times, as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284;

11 4. A determination that this case is “exceptional” under 35 U.S.C. § 285,
12 and an award of Plaintiff’s reasonable attorneys’ fees;

13 5. That any monetary award include pre- and post-judgment interest at the
14 highest rate allowed by law;

15 || 6. For costs of suit; and

16 7. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

18 | Dated: May 18, 2015

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
MICHAEL T. HORNAK
RONALD P. OINES
BRADLEY A. CHAPIN
BENJAMIN DEMING

By: /s/ *Ronald P. Oines*

Ronald P. Oines
Attorneys for Plaintiff ASSA ABLOY
AB

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

2 Pursuant to Local Rule 38-1 of the Local Rules of the United States District
3 Court for the Central District of California, Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial in
4 this action.

5 | Dated: May 18, 2015

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
MICHAEL T. HORNAK
RONALD P. OINES
BRADLEY A. CHAPIN
BENJAMIN DEMING

By: /s/ *Ronald P. Oines*

Ronald P. Oines

Ronald F. Chiles
Attorneys for Plaintiff ASSA ABLOY
AB